

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,159		07/24/2003	Vinod Sharma	P-11275.00	9695	
27581	7590	07/05/2006		EXAM	INER	
MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARK				BOCKELMA	BOCKELMAN, MARK	
		ARK N 55432-9924		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3766	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SP
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/626,159	SHARMA, VINOD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	inder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	•
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
application from the International	•	-
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not	received.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/626,159

Art Unit: 3766

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-7, drawn to a composition for bioablation classified in class 435, subclass 320.1.

- II. Claims 8-28, drawn to a kit for bioablation, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
- III. Claims 29-33, drawn to a method of transforming cells, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- IV. Claims 34-41, drawn to transformed cells, classified in class 514, subclass44.
- V. Claims 42-45, drawn to a method of changing a heart rate, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, IV as compared to inventions III and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the compositions could be used for assaying tissue/culture samples.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Art Unit: 3766

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the code to treat ion channel conductance. The subcombination has separate utility such as compositions could be used for assaying tissue/culture samples.

Inventions I, II and IV are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the ablated cells have a different use from the composition.

Inventions III and V are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the methods perform different tasks.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,159

Art Unit: 3766

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MWB

June 26, 2006

MARK BOCKELMAN
POSMARY EXAMINER